

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (hereinafter "Agreement") is entered into between the STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (hereinafter "CARB") 1001 I STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814, and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, INC. (hereinafter "KINGS RIVER TRUCKING"), 21083 E. TRIMMER SPRING ROAD, SANGER, CALIFORNIA, 93657.

I. RECITALS

- (1) HSC §§ 39650-39675 mandate the reduction of the emissions of substances that have been determined to be toxic air contaminants. In 1998, following an exhaustive ten-year scientific assessment process, CARB identified particulate matter (PM) from diesel-fueled engines as a toxic air contaminant. In-use on-road diesel vehicles are powered by diesel fueled engines that emit toxic PM. On-road vehicles are controlled under the Truck and Bus regulation, as codified in 13 CCR § 2025.
- (2) 13 CCR § 2025(e)(1)(B) states: "Starting January 1, 2012, for all vehicles with GVWR greater than 26,000 lbs, excluding school buses, fleets must meet the requirements of 13 CCR § 2025(g) or fleets that report may instead comply with the phase-in option of 13 CCR § 2025(i)."
- (3) Failure to comply with the requirements of 13 CCR § 2025 is a violation of state law resulting in penalties. HSC §§ 39674(a) and (b) authorize civil penalties for the violation of the programs for the regulation of toxic air contaminants not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), respectively, for each day in which the violation occurs.
- (4) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING has elected to meet the requirements of the Engine Model Year Compliance Schedule provided for in 13 CCR § 2025(g).
- (5) 13 CCR § 2025(g) requires that owners of diesel vehicles with a GVWR greater than 26,000 lbs. (heavier vehicles) meet PM Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements for all 1996 through 1999 model year engines by January 1, 2012.
- (6) CARB has documented that KINGS RIVER TRUCKING failed to meet PM BACT requirements for all 1996 through 1999 model year engines by January 1, 2012.
- (7) In order to resolve these alleged violations, KINGS RIVER TRUCKING has taken, or agreed to take, the actions enumerated below under "RELEASE". Further, CARB accepts this Agreement in termination and settlement of this matter.
- (8) In consideration of the foregoing, and of the promises and facts set forth herein, the parties desire to settle and resolve all claims, disputes, and obligations

relating to the above-listed violations, and voluntarily agree to resolve this matter by means of this Agreement. Specifically, CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING agree as follows:

II. TERMS AND RELEASE

In consideration of CARB not filing a legal action against KINGS RIVER TRUCKING for the alleged violations referred to above, and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING's payment of the penalties set forth in Section 1 below, CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING agree as follows:

- (1) Upon execution of this Agreement, the sum of two thousand dollars (\$2,000) shall be paid on behalf of KINGS RIVER TRUCKING no later than May 18, 2018, as follows:
 - \$2,000 payable to the **Air Pollution Control Fund**

The Parties shall exchange signed copies of this Agreement. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts. Facsimile or photocopied signatures shall be considered as valid signatures as of the date hereof, although the original signature pages shall thereafter be appended to this Agreement. **Please send the original signed Settlement Agreement and any future mailings or documents required per the terms of this Settlement Agreement to:**

**Mr. Ryman Simangan
Air Pollution Specialist
California Air Resources Board
Enforcement Division
P.O. Box 2815
Sacramento, California 95812**

Please send the payment along with the attached "Settlement Agreement Payment Transmittal Form" (Attachment A) to:

**California Air Resources Board
Accounting Office
P.O. Box 1436
Sacramento, California 95812-1436**

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, INC.

Page 3 of 6

- (2) If the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement, KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall pay all costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including expert fees, reasonable attorney's costs, and costs.
- (3) It is further agreed that the penalties described in "Terms and Release", paragraph 1 are punitive in nature, rather than compensatory. Furthermore, the penalty is intended to deter and punish KINGS RIVER TRUCKING for violations of state environmental statutes, and these penalties are payable to and for the benefit of CARB, a governmental unit. Therefore, it is agreed that these penalties imposed on KINGS RIVER TRUCKING by CARB arising from the facts described in recital paragraphs (1) through (7) are non-dischargeable under 11 United States Code § 523 (a)(7), which provides an exception from discharge for any debt to the extent such debt is for a fine, penalty or forfeiture payable to and for benefit of governmental unit, and is not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, other than certain types of tax penalties.
- (4) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall not violate HSC §§ 43701 *et seq.*, 44011.6 *et seq.*, and 13 CCR §§ 2180 *et seq.*, 2190 *et seq.*, and 2485 *et seq.*
- (5) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall complete Low NOx Software Upgrades (reflash) on all applicable heavy-duty diesel engines operating in California and report to CARB within 45 days of this agreement.
- (6) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall remain in compliance with the ECL regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2183.
- (7) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall instruct all employees who operate diesel-fueled vehicles to comply with the idling regulations set forth in 13 CCR § 2485, within 45 days of this Agreement.
- (8) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall not violate the Truck and Bus regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2025.
- (9) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING shall submit proof of compliance with the Truck and Bus regulation (as codified in 13 CCR § 2025), within 45 days of the execution of this Agreement, to **Mr. Ryman Simangan, Air Pollution Specialist, California Air Resources Board, Enforcement Division, P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812.**
- (10) This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon CARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, INC.
Page 4 of 6

- (11) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces all prior negotiations and agreements between CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING concerning the subject matter hereof.
- (12) No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, is valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
- (13) Severability. Each provision of this Agreement is severable, and in the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- (14) This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice-of-law rules.
- (15) This Agreement is deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties; it will not be interpreted for or against either party on the ground that said party drafted it.
- (16) Senate Bill 1402 (Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires CARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks (HSC § 39619.7). This information, which is provided throughout this settlement agreement, is summarized here:

The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including a per unit or per vehicle penalty.

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. The penalties in this matter were determined in consideration of all relevant circumstances, including the eight factors specified in HSC §§ 42403 and 43024.

Truck and Bus Violations

The per unit penalty for the Truck and Bus violations involved in this case is a maximum of \$1,000 per vehicle per day for strict liability violations or \$10,000 per vehicle per day for negligent or intentional violations.

The penalty obtained for the Truck and Bus violations involved in this case for failure to meet the requirements of the Engine Model Year Compliance Schedule for heavier vehicles is \$1,000 per vehicle:

- \$2,000 for 2 vehicles with a 1999 model year engine

The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.

Truck and Bus Violations

The penalty provision being applied for the Truck and Bus regulation (13 CCR § 2025) violations in this case is HSC § 39674 because the Truck and Bus regulation is an Airborne Toxic Control Measure adopted pursuant to authority contained in HSC §§ 39002 et seq., 39650-39675 and because KINGS RIVER TRUCKING failed to bring their diesel fleet into compliance by the deadlines set forth in 13 CCR § 2025(g).

Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.

Truck and Bus Violations

The provisions cited above do prohibit emissions above a specified level of g/hp-hr. However, since the hours of operation of the noncompliant trucks involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practicable to quantify the excess emissions.

- (17) KINGS RIVER TRUCKING acknowledges that CARB has complied with Senate Bill 1402 in prosecuting or settling this case. Specifically, CARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at HSC § 43024, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed and has considered and determined that this penalty is being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level.
- (18) Penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar cases, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per unit basis.
- (19) The penalty was based on confidential settlement communications between CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING that CARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business. The penalty is the product of an arms length negotiation between CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING and reflects CARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance


SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
CARB and KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, INC.
Page 6 of 6


with the law and remove any unfair advantage that KINGS RIVER TRUCKING may have secured from its actions.

- (20) Now therefore, in consideration of the payment on behalf of KINGS RIVER TRUCKING to the Air Pollution Control Fund, CARB hereby releases KINGS RIVER TRUCKING and their principals, officers, agents, predecessors and successors from any and all claims, CARB may have or have in the future based on the circumstances described in paragraphs (1) through (7) of the Recitals. The undersigned represent that they have the authority to enter into this Agreement.

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

KINGS RIVER TRUCKING, INC.

Signature: 

Signature: 

Print Name: Dr. Todd P. Sax

Print Name: Brad Bishel

Title: Chief, Enforcement Division

Title: Vice President

Date: 8/29/18

Date: 6-26-18